

1	ROB BONTA				
2	Attorney General of California ANYA BINSACCA Supervising Deputy Attorney General KRISTIN A. LISKA				
3					
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 315994 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000				
5	San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 Telephone: (415) 510-3916				
67	Fax: (415) 703-5480 E-mail: Kristin.Liska@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Defendant				
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION				
11					
12	RAJAN GUPTA,	Case No. 3:21	-cv-09045-EMC		
13	Plaintiff,				
14	v.		ON REGARDING FINAL		
15		INJUNCTIO	ENTERING PERMANENT N, AWARDING		
16	ROB BONTA, in his official capacity as Attorney General of the State of California,	ACTION ACTION	'S FEES, AND DISMISSING		
17 18	Defendant.	Judge:	The Honorable Edward M. Chen		
19		Action Filed:	11/23/2021		
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					

2

3

4 5

7

8

6

9 10

12

13

11

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

Plaintiff Rajan Gupta and Defendant Rob Bonta, Attorney General of the State of California, sued in his official capacity, agree and stipulate as follows:

- 1. In November 2021, Plaintiff filed the complaint in this case against Defendant, challenging SB 742. SB 742 makes it "unlawful to knowingly approach within 30 feet of any person while a person is within 100 feet of the entrance or exit of a vaccination site and is seeking to enter or exit a vaccination site, or any occupied motor vehicle seeking entry or exit to a vaccination site, for the purpose of obstructing, injuring, harassing, intimidating, or interfering with that person or vehicle occupant." SB 742 defines "harassing" as "knowingly approaching, without consent, within 30 feet of another person or occupied vehicle for the purpose of passing a leaflet or handbill to, displaying a sign to, or engaging in oral protest, education, or counseling with, that other person in a public way or on a sidewalk area." Plaintiff contends that SB 742 violates his rights under the First and Fourteenth Amendments to the U.S. Constitution.
- 2. This case is one of three cases brought challenging SB 742 on the basis that it is a violation of the First Amendment. In one of the other two cases, Aubin v. Bonta, No. 21-cv-07938, the district court entered judgment on March 29, 2023. The Aubin court held that SB 742's prohibition on approaching for the purpose of "harassing" as defined in the statute violates the First Amendment. It further held that this prohibition was severable from SB 742's prohibition on approaching for the purposes of intimidating, injuring, interfering with, or obstructing access. The Aubin court entered final judgment for plaintiffs and permanently enjoined Defendant Attorney General Bonta from enforcing SB 742's prohibition on approaching for the purpose of "harassing" as defined in the statute. The time in which to appeal that judgment has expired, and the Attorney General, the defendant in the Aubin matter, has not appealed.
- 3. Although Defendant does not concede the unconstitutionality of SB 742, Defendant and Plaintiff wish to resolve this matter now in light of the judgment and permanent injunction entered by the Aubin court. The parties hereby consent to entry of a judgment in favor of Plaintiff, and to the entry of a permanent injunction and order of dismissal in the form submitted along with this stipulation.

1	4. Entry of the stipulated permanent injunction and order of dismissal will fully resolve			
2	this civil action.			
3	5.	5. The stipulated permanent injunction will be entered under Federal Rule of Civil		
4	Procedure 65 and will constitute the final judgment in this matter.			
5	6.	6. Defendant shall pay Plaintiff the sum of \$149,168 in reasonable attorney's fees and		
6	\$499.55 in	2.55 in costs incurred in this case.		
7	7.	This Court should retain jurisdiction over this matter for the purpose of implementing		
8	and enforcing the final judgment.			
9				
10			Respectfully submitted,	
11	Dated: August 29, 2023		ROB BONTA Attorney General of California	
12			Anya Binsacca	
13			Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
14			/s/ Kristin Liska	
15			KRISTIN A. LISKA	
16			Deputy Attorney General	
17			Attorneys for Defendant	
18				
19	Dotad: Aug	must 20, 2022	/s/ Frank Bednarz	
20	Dated: August 29, 2023		FRANK BEDNARZ	
21			THEODORE FRANK Attorney for Plaintiff	
22			Allorney for Flaimity	
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE Case Name: Rajan Gupta v. Rob Bonta Case No.: 3:21-cv-09045-EMC I hereby certify that on August 31, 2023, I electronically filed the following documents with the Clerk of the Court by using the CM/ECF system: STIPULATION REGARDING FINAL JUDGMENT ENTERING PERMANENT INJUNCTION, AWARDING ATTORNEY'S FEES, AND DISMISSING ACTION [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING PERMANENT INJUNCTION I certify that all participants in the case are registered CM/ECF users and that service will be electronically accomplished by the CM/ECF system. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California and the United States of America the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on August 31, 2023, in San Francisco, California. Vansssa Jordan Vanessa Jordan, Declarant